

# Sarvajñasiddhi

By Jitāri

Funders: Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and The National Endowment for the Humanities

Principal: Birgit Kellner

data entry by: Aurorachana, Auroville

prepared for SARIT by: Liudmila Olalde

## Edition Statement

## Publication Statement

Availability: restricted

Copyright Notice:

Copyright 2016 SARIT

SARIT assumes no responsibility for unauthorised use that infringes the rights of any copyright owners, known or unknown.

## Source Description

Sarvajñasiddhi

Jitāri

Jitāri: Kleine Texte

Jitāri

GudrunBühnemann

Arbeitskreis für tibetische und buddhisitsche Studien Universität Wien

Wien

1982

27-28

Wiener Studien zur Tibetologie und Buddhismuskunde

8

1

PatnaBihar Research SocietyGlass plate negatives IA, IB, IIA, and IIB.<sup>2</sup>

Jitāri

Apoahasiddhi

Jitāri

Kṣaṇajabhaṅga

Jitāri

ŚrutikartṛsiddhiIB.1.14; IA.1.14; IB.2.10; IA.2.10; IB.2.11; IA.2.11; IB.2.13; IA.2.13

Jitāri

VedāprāmāṇyasiddhiIA.2.13 (Fol. Nr. 23a); IB.2.14 (Fol. Nr. 24b); IA.2.14; IB.2.15 (Fol. Nr. 25)<sup>3</sup>

Jitāri

Sarvajñasiddhi

Jitāri

VyāpakānupalambhaIB.2.7; IA.2.7

Jitāri

NairātmyasiddhiIB.2.9; IA.2.9; IIB.4; IIA.4; IIB.5; IIA.5; IIB.7; IIA.7; IIB.8; IIA.8.

Jitāri

JātinirākṛtiIIB.9; IIA.9; IIB.6; IIA.6; IIB.11; IIA.11; IIB.10; IIA.10; IIB.12; IIA.12

Jitāri

\*ĪśvaravādimataparīkṣāIIA.13<sup>4</sup>

<sup>5</sup> Low quality photographs of the manuscript, which is therefore difficult to read. Only parts of the manuscript were photographed; the beginning and the end are missing, as well as other folios. The

1 Kleine Texte is an edition of: Vedāprāmāṇyasiddhi, Sarvajñasiddhi, Nairātmyasiddhi, Jātinirākṛti, and \*Īśvaravādimataparīkṣā. Bühneman's edition is based on the manuscript described below.

2 See manuscript description in

;

3 one folio is missing (23b und 24a)

4 Not identified.

5 This is the description given by Bühnemann in the introduction (in German) to the her 1982 edition, pp. 8-9.

preserved folios are not arranged in order. The numbers given on the margin seem not to correspond to the reconstructed sequence of the folios (for this reason Bühneman did not reproduce them in her edition). The script is Proto-Maithili. The manuscript has several mistakes.

The references to the folios are by number of the glass plate, column, and folio number (counting from the top to the bottom), e.g. IA.2.13 = glass plate IA, column 2, folio 13.

Rāhula Sāṅkṛtyāyana took pictures of the manuscripts in Tibet<sup>6</sup>.

Title:	Ratnakīrtinibandhāvalih
Author:	Ratnakīrti
Editor:	A. Thakur
Publisher:	K. P. Jayaswal Research Institute
Place of Publication:	Patna
Date:	1975
Note:	2nd edition

## Encoding Description

Line breaks: In the source file, there were two types of line breaks: returns (and possible surrounding space) and hyphens+returns. These were replaced with lb-elements. The ed-attribute "gb" refers to Bühnemann's 1982 edition.

The glass plate numbers were encoded as pb-elements with the edRef-attribute "#sajña-ms".

The variant readings in the footnotes were enclosed in a q type="variant".

References were enclosed in a ref-element.

Square brackets were encoded as surplus. This follows Bühnemann 1982 p. 48: "[ ] auszulassen".

Angle brackets were encoded as supplied. This follows Bühnemann 1982 p. 48: "< > zu ergänzen".

Suspension points have been wrapped in supplied reason="gap".

The footnotes were encoded as note-elements with their corresponding n-attribute. The footnote references were replaced with the corresponding note. Line breaks in the notes have been removed

## Revision Description

6 Cf. Bühneman's introduction to her 1982 edition, pp. 8-9

/p. 27/

# Sarvañasiddhi

/p. IA.2.13.7-23a/

sarvañacaraṇāmbhojapraṇipātапuraḥsaram |

sarvañasiddhaye 'smābhīḥ...<sup>1</sup>

<sup>2</sup> yat pramāṇasamvādi niścitārthavacanam tat sākṣāt paramparayā vā  
tadarthasākṣātkāriñānapūrvakam | yathā dahano dāhaka iti vacanam | pramāṇaniścitārthavacanam  
cedam | kṣaṇikāḥ sarvasaṃskārā ity arthataḥ kāryahetuḥ | nāsyāsiddhiḥ  
sarvabhāvakṣaṇabhaṅgaprasādhanād asya vacanasya satyārthatvāt | nāpi virodhah sapakṣe bhāvāt |  
na cānaikāntikāḥ vacanamātrasya saṃsayaviparyāsapūrvakatve 'pi pramāṇaniścitārthavacanasya  
sākṣāt pāramparayaṇa vā tadarthasākṣātkāriñānapūrvakatvāt | anyathā niyamena  
pramāṇasamvādā /p. IB.2.14-24b/ yogāt<sup>3</sup> | na tv asmadādīnām kṣaṇabhaṅgasādhanam anumānam  
utpadyate | na ca tadviṣayam adhyakṣam vidyate | tad api  
pratyakṣadarśipuruṣopadeśadvāreṇotpadyata<sup>4</sup> iti paramparayā pratyakṣapūrvakam eva |

bādhakapramāṇasiddhavyāptikasya<sup>5</sup> sādhanādhīnajanmano 'sya katham  
paropadeśapūrvakatvam iti cet |

yadi paropadeśo na syād kim siddham kṣaṇabhaṅgi āhosvid akṣaṇikam iti saṃsaya eva na syāt  
| asati cāsmi na sādhanam pravarteta |

/p. 28/

nanu paropadeśam antareṇāpi saṃsayo bhavan kena vāryeta viparyayanimittena<sup>6</sup> |

anumānotthāne saty api hi sadṛśāparāparokṣād avipralabdhasya mandabuddheḥ sa evāyam iti  
pūrvāparikatvādhyavasāyo naiva<sup>7</sup> vivartate | tada tattvasaṃsayah paropadeśam vinā katham  
bhavi /p. IA.2.14-25a/ tum utpadyate<sup>8</sup> |

bhavatu parasyopadeśāt saṃsayah | pratyakṣadarśina eveti tu kutah | anumātur apy upadeśād  
asau bhavann upapanna eva |

nanu tasyāpy anumātur anumānodayahetuḥ saṃsayah kuta iti vaktavyam |  
anumātrantaropadeśād iti cet |

na | tatrāpy asya paryanuyogasya samānatvāt |

anādipūrvapakṣānumātrupadeśāpūrvakatvād uttarottarānumāṭṛsaṃsayaḥ na doṣa iti cet |

na | sarveśām evāvidyānubandhasya sadṛśāparāparokṣād asya ca vimatakāraṇasya<sup>9</sup>  
sādhāraṇatvenānumānahetoḥ<sup>10</sup> saṃsayaḥ svaviruddhaviparyāsāviruddhe manasi

1 Dem MS fehlt ein Folio; Fehler beim Fotografieren des Manuskripts.

2 Dieser Beweis ist nach R 31.13-21 ergänzt.

3 Das MS beginnt nach der Lücke mit "yogāt," was auch das letzte von Ratnakīrti zitierte Wort ist. Da der MS-Text inhaltlich diesen Beweis voraussetzt und logisch fortfährt, liegt die Annahme nahe, daß das fehlende Folio den obigen bei Ratnakīrti zitierten Beweisen enthalten hat.

4 "dvareṇait"<sup>o</sup>MS

5 Lies "<sup>o</sup>ṇābhāvasi<sup>o</sup>"!

6 "<sup>o</sup>nimitena"MS

7 "neva"MS

8 "upapadyate" wäre vorzuziehen.

9 "vitama<sup>o</sup>"MS

niravakāśatvād anumātṛtvāyogāt | yadi hi sākṣāddraṣṭā kaścid upadeṣṭā bhavet |  
 bhavet<sup>11</sup> tadupadeśoktena samśayah kaścid anumātā tadupadeśoktena<sup>12</sup> samśayah | pare 'pi  
 puruṣā bhave /p. IB.2.15-25b/ yur anumātārah | sākṣāddraṣṭari<sup>13</sup> punar asati puruṣe nātur  
 aviparyāsavāsanānām udbhedābhāvena samayābhāvād ajāyamāne anumāne katham anumātṛtvam  
 nama | tasmāt sākṣāddraṣṭopadeṣṭā kaścid eṣṭavyah | yaś ca sarvadharmakṣaṇikatvādisākṣatkāry  
 upadeṣṭā sa bhagavān | surāsuraśiroṁaṇih sarvaña iti |

kṛtvā sarvavidah siddhim punyam āśāditam mayā |  
 etena sakalo lokaḥ sārvañam padam āpnuyāt ||

paṇḍitajitāreḥ sarvañasiddhiḥ ||

10 "°nanumāna°"MS

11 "bhavat"MS

12 "°kta°"MS

13 "°ra"MS